An updated survey of OpenType math fonts

Ulrik Vieth

Abstract

OpenType math fonts have been introduced more than 15 years ago. Over the years, more and more math fonts have been developed and added to the font collection. In this paper, we review some of the more recent additions, comparing them to previous choices of OpenType math fonts such as Cambria, Lucida, Latin Modern, or TeX Gyre.

In our analysis, we focus on completeness of math symbols and alphabets, and on design choices of math alphabets. However, a detailed technical study of glyph and font metrics is beyond the scope of this paper, but some aspects of this have been recently addressed by other contributions.

1 Introduction

OpenType math fonts have been introduced more than 15 years ago. It started when Microsoft added support for math typesetting in Office 2007 [1] and proposed an extension of the OpenType font format, adding a MATH table that eventually became part of the OpenType standard [2].

It didin't take long until the TeX community recognized the potential of OpenType math fonts [3, 4] and started adopting the font technology for their own purposes.

XƎTEX started in 2008 to introduce limited support for OpenType math in the scope of an extended TeX math engine [5]. LuaTeX followed in 2009 with a more complete implementation, aiming to provide a full-featured OpenType math engine [6, 7].

Since 2010 both engines and supporting macro packages and font loaders have been available in the mainstream TeX Live distribution. At this point, the technology for OpenType math typesetting was essentially ready for use, except that there weren't many OpenType math fonts available yet.

2 Overview of available math fonts

When OpenType math was introduced, only a single math font was available: Cambria Math [8] by Tiro Typeworks, which was commissioned by Microsoft and distributed as a system font with Office 2007. Cambria Math was intended as a reference implementation show-casing the features of OpenType math, illustrated in a promotional booklet.

This was followed in 2008 by Asana Math [9] by Apostolos Syropoulos as the first independently developed OpenType math font, which was based on `pxfonts` by Young Ryu.

When the STIX fonts 1.0 were released in 2010, they were quickly assembled as an OpenType math font and released as the XITS fonts [10]. It was only years later that OpenType versions of STIX fonts were released with STIX fonts 1.1.1 in 2013 and the much revised STIX2 fonts in 2016 [11, 12].

In the meantime, the earlier XITS and STIX fonts are considered obsolete, and only the STIX2 fonts are maintained.

Perhaps the most significant contribution to the collection of math fonts came in 2011–2014 with the development of the Latin Modern and TeX Gyre math fonts by the GUST font team with support from various TeX user groups [13, 14, 15, 16].

Another contribution by the GUST team was the development of a math font for DejaVu in 2015, which was added to the TeX Gyre collection.

During the same time came the development of Lucida OpenType text and math fonts in 2011–2012, which was initiated as a TUG project with support from Bigelow & Holmes and a group of volunteers. While the Lucida fonts aren't free, they are available at a very reasonable price from TUG [17].

With these developments, there were already more than 10 choices of OpenType math fonts in 2015, when there were just a few in 2010.

But there was more to come: In the following years, more math fonts were added, complementing various freely available OpenType text fonts.

Starting in 2016, Khaled Hosny developed the Libertinus OTF fonts [18], derived from Libertine and Biolinum, and added a Libertinus Math font, borrowing some symbols and alphabets from other existing fonts such as the STIX fonts.

A Garamond Math font [19] followed in 2018, developed by Yuansheng Zhao, using alphabets from EB Garamond and borrowing a sans-serif alphabet from Libertinus Math.

Daniel Flipo provided the Erewhon Math and XCharter Math fonts [20, 21], using alphabets from Michael Sharpe's Erewhon[1] and XCharter text fonts, which, in turn, are derived from extended versions of Adobe Utopia and Bitstream Charter. The math symbols for Utopia and Charter are based on the Fourier-GUT and MathDesign packages by Michel Bovani and Paul Pichaureau.

Another recent contribution by Daniel Flipo is the KpFonts OTF collection [22], based on KpFonts by Christophe Caignaert, which, in turn, is derived from a version of URW Palladio (not Kepler!) and complemented by a sans-serif and a monospace to make a complete font family.

---

[1] *erewhon* backwards is *nowhere*, which alludes to Utopia.

font name	first	latest	version	release	sources	developer, maintainer	ref.
Cambria Math	2007	2019	6.99	MS	—	Microsoft, Tiro Typeworks	[8]
Asana Math	2008	2019	0.958	CTAN	—	Apostolos Syropoulos	[9]
XITS Math	2010	2020	1.302	CTAN	Github	Khaled Hosny	[10]
STIX Math (obsolete)	2010	2014	1.1.1	CTAN	Github	David Jones, STIpub	[11]
STIX Two Math	2016	2021	2.13	CTAN	Github	David Jones, STIpub	[12]
Latin Modern Math	2011	2014	1.959	CTAN	GUST	GUST font team	[13]
TₑX Gyre Pagella Math	2012	2016	1.632	CTAN	GUST	GUST font team	[14]
TₑX Gyre Termes Math	2012	2016	1.543	CTAN	GUST	GUST font team	[14]
TₑX Gyre Bonum Math	2013	2016	1.005	CTAN	GUST	GUST font team	[14]
TₑX Gyre Schola Math	2014	2016	1.533	CTAN	GUST	GUST font team	[14]
TₑX Gyre DejaVu Math	2015	2016	1.106	CTAN	GUST	GUST font team	[14]
Lucida Bright Math	2012	2023	1.901	TUG	—	Bigelow & Holmes, TUG	[17]
Libertinus Math	2016	2021	7.040	CTAN	Github	Khaled Hosny	[18]
Garamond Math	2018	2022	2022-01	CTAN	Github	Yuansheng Zhao	[19]
Erewhon Math	2019	2023	0.62	CTAN	—	Daniel Flipo	[20]
XCharter Math	2022	2023	0.42	CTAN	—	Daniel Flipo	[21]
KpFonts (Roman, Sans)	2020	2023	0.55	CTAN	—	Daniel Flipo	[22]
GFS Neohellenic Math	2016	2022	1.02	CTAN	—	Antonis Tsolomitis, GFS	[23]
Fira Math	2018	2020	0.3.4	CTAN	Github	Xiangdong Zeng	[24]
Lato Math	2020	2020	??	—	Github	Chenjing Bu	[25]
Noto Math	2020	2023	2.539	—	Github	Noto Fonts Project	[27]
New CM Math	2019	2023	4.5	CTAN	—	Antonis Tsolomitis	[29]
Concrete Math	2022	2023	0.41	CTAN	—	Daniel Flipo	[30]
Euler Math	2022	2023	0.41	CTAN	—	Daniel Flipo, Khaled Hosny	[31]

Table 1: List of available OpenType math font packages with dates of first and latest releases, latest versions, availability of releases and sources, developer or maintainer, as well as links to resources.

While KpFonts also includes a sans-serif design, it is not the only sans-serif math font available.

There is GFS Neohellenic Math [23] maintained by Antonis Tsolomitis, which is based on a sans-serif font in neo-hellenic style that was developed by the Greek Font Society (GFS).

Another example is Fira Math [24] developed by Xiangdong Zeng in 2018, using alphabets from Fira Sans and corresponding math symbols.

There also exists a project for Lato Math [25], using alphabets from Lato [26] by Łukasz Dziedzic combined with symbols borrowed from Fira Math. Unfortunately, the project seems unfinished and is unsuitable for distribution in the current state.

Another very recent project, started in 2023, aims to provide OpenType math functionality for Noto Math [27]. While the font already exists for some years, it only provided the glyphs, but it didn't come with a MATH table, so it was lacking the math typesetting functionality. When the project is done, it will provide another important addition to the collection of sans-serif math fonts.

Finally, besides all the developments to provide math support for various existing OpenType fonts, there has also been renewed interest in extending and reviving some traditional TₑX fonts.

A significant extension is the New Computer Modern font family [28, 29] by Antonis Tsolomitis, which extends Latin Modern fonts in many ways. Besides numerous additions to the text fonts, it also adds additional Unicode blocks of mathematical and technical symbols to the math fonts. As a result, these fonts are now the most complete math fonts, even more complete than STIX fonts.

Another recent contribution by Daniel Flipo has revived some traditional TₑX fonts, providing OTF versions of Concrete Math and Euler Math [30, 31]. While Concrete Math was generated from sources, Euler Math is based on Neo Euler [32] by Khaled Hosny, started in 2009, which originated from a collaboration with Hermann Zapf more than a decade ago and was long since abandoned [33].

With these developments, we now have more than 20 choices of OpenType math fonts in 2023 (not counting variants). This is a significant increase compared to the numbers of 2015 or 2010.

A summary of available OpenType math font packages is provided in table 1.

font name	weights
XITS Math	Regular, Bold
Lucida Bright Math	Regular, Demi
Erewhon Math	Regular, Bold (minimal)
XCharter Math	Regular, Bold (minimal)
KpRoman Math	Light, Semibold
	Regular, Bold
KpSans Math	Regular, Bold
New CM Math	Regular, Book

Table 2: List of available OpenType math fonts with bold versions or additional weights.

Some OpenType math font packages come with multiple weights, so the total number of individual font shapes is actually more than 30 now.

In some cases, there is a fairly complete bold math font, in other cases, only a bare minimum is provided, suitable for inline math only.

Besides bold math fonts, there are also some font packages which provide multiple weights of the base fonts, such as light or book variants.

A summary of OpenType math fonts with bold or additional weights is provided in table 2.

Nearly all OpenType math fonts discussed in this paper are free and readily available from CTAN or TeX Live. However, some unfinished projects are currently only available from Github.

The only non-free fonts discussed in this paper are Cambria Math, which comes as a system font on Windows, and the Lucida fonts, which are sold via TUG. We have excluded other non-free fonts since we don't have any up-to-date information.

In this paper, we want to analyze how the available math fonts compare with regards to coverage of symbols and alphabets, and with regards to design choices of alphabets.

Some of these topics have also been considered in an earlier review [34], which reflected the state of math fonts in 2012, when just a few OpenType math fonts were available, such as Cambria, Lucida, Latin Modern, and some TeX Gyre fonts.

In this review, we provide an update on the state of OpenType math fonts in 2023 with many updated and many additional fonts available.

Given the number of available fonts, a detailed technical study of font parameters and glyph metrics is beyond the scope of this paper.

Fortunately, some recent studies by LuaMetaTeX developers[2] have covered this topic in detail and have also resulted in improvements or repairs of several OpenType math fonts [35, 36, 37].

3 Completeness of available math fonts

In the following sections, we want to analyze how the available math fonts compare with regards to completeness of symbols and alphabets.

In order to determine the range of coverage, we are essentially counting the number of Unicode slots provided in a given OpenType font.

This could be done using a test script such as Frank Mittelbach's `unicodefonttable` package [38, 39], which generates a Unicode font table for a given font and counts the available glyphs.

A similar approach, more specific to math fonts, would be to adapt the `unimath-symbols.ltx` table from the documentation of `unicode-math` package [40], which typesets a font table of Unicode math symbols encoded in `unicode-math-table.tex` and counts the available glyphs.

In our case, we have used a modified version of this, which provides separate counts for symbols and alphabetic characters. We have also used a modified version of the symbol table.

The numbers determined this way represent a lower estimate for the available glyphs, since we are only counting the base glyphs in Unicode slots and only the known symbols.

In most cases, OpenType math fonts provide more than just the base glyphs. For big operators, big delimiters, wide accents, or similar objects, there are multiple sizes and an extensible versions.

Besides additional sizes, many OpenType math fonts also provide additional glyph variants that can be accessed via stylistic sets.

It is difficult to determine an exact number of glyphs that should be provided to make a math font complete. The boundary between mathematical and technical symbols is a little vague and the decision which symbols to include or exclude in the encoding table could be somewhat subjective.

Furthermore, Unicode comes with new releases every year, so there could be additional symbols added from time to time, which could be overlooked if they are missing in the symbol table.

Some of the most complete OpenType math fonts amount to 1270 symbols and 1170 alphabetic characters, so there would be 2440 glyphs in total, not counting any sizes or variants. If we include the additional sizes and variants, there will be even more glyphs needed for a complete math font.

While the glyph variants are usually hidden and excluded from the count, some font designers make them available in the private-use area, which could add them to the total count of Unicode slots.

---

[2] LuaMetaTeX (`LMTX`) is a follow-up of LuaTeX.

3.1   Completeness of math symbols

When analyzing the counts regarding completeness of math symbols, we find that there are essentially two groups of OpenType math fonts.

The first group aims for completeness, covering more or less the complete range of Unicode math, providing some 1150–1270 math symbols:

New CM Math	1270 symbols
STIX Two Math	1256 symbols
XITS Math	1253 symbols
Lato Math	1221 symbols
Asana Math	1211 symbols
GFS Neohellenic Math	1175 symbols
Noto Math	1162 symbols
Cambria Math	1157 symbols
Lucida Bright Math	951 symbols

In this group we find fonts that were designed for completeness such as STIX/XITS, Noto, or Lato, but also some new entries such as New CM Math, which is currently the most complete math font. Cambria is also fairly complete by now, but was much less complete in earlier versions. Lucida is somewhere in between: It is a little behind the first group, but way ahead of the second group.

The second group does not aim for completeness and covers only a subset of symbols, providing some 500–600 math symbols:

Garamond Math	604 symbols
Erewhon Math	601 symbols
Euler Math	591 symbols
KpFonts (Roman, Sans)	589 symbols
XCharter Math	577 symbols
Libertinus Math	560 symbols
TEX Gyre Math (5×)	556 symbols
Latin Modern Math	554 symbols
Fira Math	508 symbols
Concrete Math	501 symbols

Among this group, the Latin Modern and TEX Gyre math fonts by the GUST font team provide a a consistent subset across all fonts, which could be taken as a starting point for a common subset encoding. Unfortunately, there is not much agreement among other fonts, so the details of symbol coverage will be slightly different for each font.

While a subset of 500–600 math symbols may seem small compared to the full Unicode symbol range, it is actually not that small. If we consider that a traditional TEX with AMS fonts had no more than 5 fonts of 128 slots to encode all the math symbols and alphabets, any OpenType font with 500–600 symbols (not including alphabets) will be as good as any traditional TEX font.

Finally, it is interesting to note how bold math fonts compare, if they are provided at all.

Since the regular math fonts already include bold math alphabets for semantic markup, separate bold math fonts are only needed in the context of headings, when formulas are switched to bold as a whole, and it may be reasonable to assume that only inline math will be used in this context.

As shown in table 2, only a few font packages provide a separate bold math font, and these bold versions come with a smaller range of math symbols compared to the regular versions:

XITS Math Bold	499 symbols
KpFonts (Roman, Sans)	495 symbols
Lucida Bright Math Demi	478 symbols
Erewhon Math Bold	124 symbols
XCharter Math Bold	116 symbols

In the case of Erewhon Math and XCharter Math, the idea of only providing support for inline math was taken to the extreme, omitting most of the big operators and big delimiters, and only including the basic sizes of the most common symbols.

3.2   Completeness of math alphabets

When analyzing the counts regarding completeness of math alphabets, we find that there are again several groups of OpenType math fonts.

The first group aims for completeness, covering all of the math alphabets, providing some 1150–1170 alphabetic symbols:

New CM Math	1170 alphabetic
STIX Two Math	1170 alphabetic
XITS Math	1170 alphabetic
Cambria Math	1170 alphabetic
Asana Math	1167 alphabetic
Noto Math	1164 alphabetic
TEX Gyre Math (5×)	1163 alphabetic

The second group is a little less complete, covering most of the math alphabets with some limitiations, providing some 1050–1150 alphabetic symbols:

Libertinus Math	1145 alphabetic
Erewhon Math	1117 alphabetic
Latin Modern Math	1111 alphabetic
Garamond Math	1100 alphabetic
XCharter Math	1073 alphabetic
KpFonts (Roman, Sans)	1070 alphabetic
Lucida Bright Math	1038 alphabetic

Among the most common omissions are lowercase Script and BBold, which are missing in several fonts. Lucida Math is missing only lower bold Script and bold Fraktur. Garamond Math is missing lowercase Greek in sans serif bold italic.

font name	regular up it bf bi	sans-serif up it bf bi	Script scr bscr	Fraktur frak bfrak	BBold bb	Mono tt
Cambria Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
Asana Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
XITS Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
STIX Two Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
Latin Modern Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
TeX Gyre Math (5×)	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
Lucida Bright Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● –	● ● – –	● –	● ●
Libertinus Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
Garamond Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ○	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
Erewhon Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
XCharter Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	● ●
KpRoman Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	● ●
KpSans Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	● ●
GFS Neohellenic Math	● ● ● ●	– – – –	● – – –	● – – –	● –	– –
Fira Math	● ● ● ●	– – – –	– – – –	– – – –	● ●	● ●
Lato Math	● ● ● ●	– – – –	– – – –	– – – –	● ●	● ●
Noto Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
New CM Math	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	● ●
Concrete Math	● ● ● ●	– – – –	● – – –	● ● ● ●	● –	– –
Euler Math	● – ● –	– – – –	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● ●	– –

Table 3: List of available OpenType math fonts with coverage of math alphabets.
For regular and sans-serif the columns indicate upright, italic, bold and bold italic.
For Script, Fraktur, BBold the columns indicate upper- and lowercase.

The third group consists of sans-serif fonts or special designs, which leave out the sans-serif slots and sometimes also the typewriter slots, resulting in much lower numbers:

Concrete Math	634 alphabetic
Lato Math	606 alphabetic
Fira Math	584 alphabetic
GFS Neohellenic Math	568 alphabetic
Euler Math	480 alphabetic

Again, the most common omissions are lowercase Script and BBold, which are missing in several fonts. GFS Neohellenic is missing lower and bold Script and Fraktur, as well as lower BBold. Lato and Fira are missing all of Script and Fraktur, but they do provide a full set of BBold.

Euler uses a special setup, which only provides an upright version of the base font, so besides the omission of sans-serif and typewriter slots, it also leaves out the italic and bold italic slots.

While most sans-serif fonts provide a reduced set of math alphabets, Noto is an exception that provides the complete range of alphabets, but uses an unusual approach. While the upright uses a sans-serif font, the italic, bold, and bold italic happen to use a serif font. Then again, a full set of sans-serif alphabets are also provided.

Finally, it is interesting to note how bold math fonts compare in terms of math alphabets.

When formulas are switched to bold as a whole in the context of headings, regular alphabets should be replaced by bold alphabets, and bold alphabets should ideally become ultrabold, if available, but in most cases they just remain bold.

The numbers of alphabetic symbols for bold fonts, which sometimes leave out typewriter slots, are usually a little lower than for regular fonts:

XITS Math Bold	1093 alphabetic
KpFonts (Roman, Sans)	1066 alphabetic
Erewhon Math Bold	1001 alphabetic
XCharter Math Bold	1001 alphabetic
Lucida Bright Math Demi	961 alphabetic

Gaps in the regular fonts are usually reflected in the bold fonts: Lucida is already missing lower bold Script and bold Fraktur in the regular font, so the bold font is also missing Script and Fraktur.

Finally, some bold fonts which only provided a minimal set used to leave out the bold alphabets when the regular alphabets were switched to bold, but this practice has now been discontinued.

A summary of available or missing alphabets in the various math fonts and bold math fonts is provided in tables 3 and 4.

font name	regular up it bf bi	sans-serif up it bf bi	Script scr bscr	Fraktur frak bfrak	BBold bb	Mono tt
XITS Math Bold	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● ●	– –
Lucida Bright Math Demi	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	– – – –	● –	● ●
Erewhon Math Bold	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	– –
XCharter Math Bold	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	– –
KpRoman Math Bold	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	● ●
KpSans Math Bold	● ● ● ●	● ● ● ●	● – ● –	● ● ● ●	● –	● ●

Table 4: List of available OpenType bold fonts with coverage of math alphabets.
For regular and sans-serif the columns indicate upright, italic, bold and bold italic.
For Script, Fraktur and BBold the columns indicate upper- and lowercase.

While it may be difficult to keep track of the details, users of OpenType math fonts shouldn't be too concerned about missing alphabets, unless they have special requirements.

In general, OpenType math fonts provide more math alphabets than traditional TeX math fonts, and most of the gaps only affect specific alphabets, which may not be used much.

It should be safe to assume that nearly all Open-Type math fonts provide at least the main alphabet in 4 shapes, including Latin and Greek, as well as a basic set of Script, Fraktur, and BBold.

There may be gaps when it comes to lowercase Script, lowercase BBold, bold Script or bold Fraktur, but these are much less used. There may also be gaps in the sans-serif or typewriter alphabets.

4   Design choices of math alphabets

For a full-featured OpenType math font, a number of math alphabets are required:

- 4 shapes of the main font (upright, italic, bold, bold italic), each including Latin and Greek,
- 4 shapes of a sans-serif (upright, italic, bold, bold italic), some including Latin and Greek,
- 2 shapes of Script/Calligraphic (regular, bold), each including upper- and lowercase,
- 2 shapes of Fraktur/Blackletter (regular, bold), each including upper- and lowercase,
- 1 shape of Blackboard bold or BBold (regular), also including upper- and lowercase,
- 1 shape of a monospace/typewriter (regular), also including upper- and lowercase.

To provide all these alphabets, it will be necessary to assemble glyphs from multiple sources and to adjust them to match the main font.

When dealing with a comprehensive font family, some choices may be obvious, such as choosing a sans-serif or a typewriter font, but in most cases some design decisions will be needed.

In the following sections, we want to consider how the available OpenType math fonts compare with regards to design choices of math alphabets for Script, Fraktur, and Blackboard Bold.

While some design choices in existing fonts may be unfortunate, it is hard to change anything, once a font has been released and put into use for some time. It will usually be necessary to create a new variant, when you want to revise some design choices.

This is what happened to the STIX fonts, which were renamed to STIX Two after a major revision of the glyph shapes and some math alphabets.

Similarly, the New Computer Modern fonts can be considered a new variant of Latin Modern. While New Computer Modern can choose to disagree with Latin Modern and use different choices, any future revisions of Latin Modern will likely have to respect previous choices for compatibility.

4.1   Design choices of sans-serif

When choosing a sans-serif font for use in math font, it is important to keep in mind that math alphabets are not meant for generic font switches, but for semantic markup of symbols in a formula. In physics, bold sans-serif italic might be used to for tensors, while bold italic might be used for vectors.

Besides providing a suitable range of Latin and Greek, the sans-serif glyphs also need to be clearly distinguishable from the corresponding serif glyphs based on their font properties, such as weight, width, contrast or stroke thickness.

While having some contrast between serif and sans-serif can be helpful, the sans-serif design should not be too incompatible with the main font, since the symbols from different alphabets should work together in a formula.

In general, it is better to combine serif and sans-serif fonts of similar weight and width, having just enough contrast in between to make them clearly distinguishable. It is also a good idea to use familiar shapes and to avoid any unusual shapes.

4.2 Design choices of Script/Calligrahic

When it comes to choices for Script or Calligraphic, there are two different styles how users expect a mathematical Script to look like.

The first group uses a restrained style of Script or Calligraphic. This includes the traditional styles used in Computer Modern, Euler Script, and Lucida Calligraphic:[3]

Neohellenic	*ABCXYZ*
Concrete	*ABCXYZ*
Garamond	*ABCXYZ* (StylisticSet=3)
KpFonts	*ABCXYZ* (StylisticSet=1)
XITS	*ABCXYZ* (StylisticSet=3)
Lucida	*ABCXYZ* (StylisticSet=4)
Euler	*ABCXYZ*
LM	*ABCXYZ*
New CM	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
STIX Two	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
Cambria	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
TG DejaVu	*ABCXYZabcxyz*

The second group uses a more fancy and elaborate style of formal Script. This includes the new design of Lucida Script:

Erewhon	*ABCXYZ*
XCharter	*ABCXYZ*
KpFonts	*ABCXYZ*
STIX Two	*ABCXYZ* (StylisticSet=1)
XITS	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
Libertinus	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
Garamond	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
TG Termes	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
TG Schola	*ABCXYZabcxyz*
Lucida	*ABCXYZabcxyz*

TEX Gyre Pagella uses a very unique style, which could make this font less usable in general:

TG Pagella	*ABCXYZabcxyz*

Several OpenType math fonts also provide an alternate style of Script or Calligraphic, which can be accessed using stylistic sets. These variants have also been included in the overview.

It is interesting to note that the STIX Two fonts have reversed a design decision of the XITS fonts regarding the choice of Script, and the designs have also been modified. New Computer Modern extends the Script from Latin Modern using the same style, while Concrete Math has adopted the original style of Calligraphic from Computer Modern.

4.3 Design choices of Fraktur/Blackletter

When it comes to choices for Fraktur or Blackletter, there is only one preferred style how users expect a mathematical Fraktur to look like.

The first group includes a majority of math font packages which use a very typical style of Fraktur. Many fonts make use of Euler Fraktur, such as Latin Modern, New Computer Modern, or Pagella:[4]

LM	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
New CM	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
Concrete	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
Euler	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
Erewhon	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
XCharter	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
TG Pagella	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
TG Termes	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
Garamond	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
Cambria	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
Libertinus	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
STIX Two	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
XITS	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
TG Schola	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷
TG DejaVu	𝔄𝔅ℭ𝔛𝔜ℨ𝔞𝔟𝔠𝔯𝔫𝔷

The second group uses a Blackletter style instead of Fraktur, which is fairly unusual and could make these fonts less usable in general:

Neohellenic	𝕬𝕭ℭ𝕵𝖄𝖅
Lucida	𝕬𝕭ℭ𝕵𝖄𝖅𝖆𝖇𝖈𝖝𝖞𝖟
KpFonts	𝕬𝕭ℭ𝕵𝖄𝖅𝖆𝖇𝖈𝖗𝖞𝖟

These designs could be just a fallback option when no suitable design of Fraktur was available.

4.4 Design choices of Blackboard Bold

When it comes to choices for Blackboard Bold, there are again two styles using a sans-serif or serif style of the BBold letters.

The first group uses a sans-serif style of BBold:

LM	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚
Euler	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚
Erewhon	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚
STIX Two	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚
XITS	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚
Lucida	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ
KpSans	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ
Neohellenic	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ
Fira	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔
Noto	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚
Lato	𝔸𝔹ℂℕ𝕆ℙ𝕈ℝ𝕏𝕐ℤ 𝕒𝕓𝕔 𝟘𝟙𝟚

---

[3] Some fonts have been scaled to match the size of other fonts: Lucida Calligraphic to 90% and Lucida Script to 85%, DejaVu to 90%, Termes, Pagella, and Schola to 95%.

[4] Some fonts have been scaled to match the size of other fonts: DejaVu to 90%, Schola to 95%. Termes, Pagella, and Lucida Blackletter are not scaled and shown at 100%.

The second group uses a serif style of BBold:[5]

New CM	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
Concrete	ABCNOPQRXYZ
XCharter	ABCNOPQRXYZ
KpRoman	ABCNOPQRXYZ
Garamond	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
Libertinus	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
Cambria	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
TG Schola	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
TG Termes	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
TG Pagella	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012
TG DejaVu	ABCNOPQRXYZ abc 012

While Latin Modern has adopted a sans-serif BBold, which also includes lowercase and numerals, New Computer Modern and Concrete Math have reverted to the traditional style of BBold from AMS fonts, at least for the uppercase. Many other fonts have chosen a scaled or adjusted variant of the sans-serif BBold from STIX/XITSfonts.

5   Summary and Conclusions

OpenType math fonts have been introduced more than 15 years ago. Over the years, more and more math fonts have been developed and added to the font collection. As of this year, we have more than 20 choices of OpenType math fonts available (not counting variants) and more than 30 individual fonts (including variants and additional weights).

Nearly all OpenType math fonts discussed in this paper are free and readily available from CTAN or TeX Live, except for some non-free fonts and some unfinished projects from Github.

The available choices of OpenType math fonts cover most of what was previously available in other formats, including traditional TeX fonts (Computer Modern, Concrete, Euler), standard PostScript fonts (Times, Palatino, etc), and other free PostScript fonts (Garamond, Utopia, Charter, DejaVu).

In our analysis, we have analyzed the coverage of math symbols and alphabets, as well as design choices and available font features.

While the range of symbols and alphabets may vary for each font, most available fonts will be good enough for general use, providing at least as much as traditional TeX fonts or even more.

Regarding design choices, most available font packages follow some typical styles how users expect mathematical Script, Fraktur, or Blackboard Bold to look like. There are only few exceptions which use a unique or unusual style.

---

[5] Some fonts have been scaled to match the size of other fonts: DejaVu to 85%, Termes, Pagella, and Schola to 90%. Lucida is not scaled and shown at 100%.

In general, OpenType math fonts are not expected to provide the same level of stability and compatibility as traditional TeX fonts. While it should always be possible to reprocess existing documents, you cannot expect the exact same line breaks, unless you archive the specific versions of fonts.

In some cases, OpenType math fonts happen to be stable simply because they haven't been updated for years, but they may still exhibit the same bugs or limitations. Over time, it becomes more and more difficult to change anything, the longer a font has been left unchanged, and it may be necessary to introduce new variants for major revisions.

While font development is ongoing, OpenType math fonts are readily available for use.

References

[1] Murray Sargent: High-quality editing and display of mathematical text in Office 2007. https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us /archive/blogs/murrays

[2] Microsoft Typography: OpenType specification, version 1.9, December 2021. https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us /typography/opentype/spec

[3] Ulrik Vieth: Do we need a Cork math font encoding? *TUGboat*, 29(3), 426–434, 2008. https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb29-3 /tb93vieth.pdf Reprinted in *MAPS*, 38, 3–11, 2009. https://ntg.nl/maps/38/02.pdf

[4] Ulrik Vieth: OpenType Math Illuminated. *TUGboat*, 30(1), 22-31, 2009. https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb30-1 /tb94vieth.pdf Reprinted in *MAPS*, 38, 12–21, 2009. https://ntg.nl/maps/38/03.pdf

[5] Jonathan Kew: XƎTeX Live. *TUGboat*, 29(1), 151–156, 2008. https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb29-1 /tb91kew.pdf

[6] Taco Hoekwater: Math in LuaTeX 0.40. *MAPS*, 38, 22–31, 2009. https://ntg.nl/maps/38/04.pdf

[7] Hans Hagen: LuaTeX math enhancements. *TUGboat*, 37(3), 269–274, 2016. https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb37-3 /tb117hagen-otmath.pdf

[8] Tiro Typeworks: Cambria Math. https://tiro.com/projects.html

[9] Apostolos Syropoulos: Asana Math. https://ctan.org/pkg/asana-math

[10] Khaled Hosny: XITS font package.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/xits`
`https://github.com/alif-type/xits`

[11] STIX Consortium: STIX font package.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/stix`

[12] STIX Consortium: STIX2 font package.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/stix2-otf`
`https://github.com/stipub/stixfonts`

[13] GUST e-foundry: Latin Modern Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/lm-math`
`https://gust.org.pl/projects/e-foundry`

[14] GUST e-foundry: TeX Gyre Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/tex-gyre-math`
`https://gust.org.pl/projects/e-foundry`

[15] Bogusław Jackowski, Piotr Strzelczyk, Piotr Pianowski: GUST e-foundry font projects. *TUGboat*, 37(3), 269–274, 2016.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb37-3`
`/tb117jackowski.pdf`

[16] Bogusław Jackowski, Piotr Strzelczyk, Piotr Pianowski: Parametric math symbol fonts. *TUGboat*, 38(2), 208–211, 2017.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb38-2`
`/tb119jackowski.pdf`

[17] TeX Users Group: Lucida fonts from TUG.
`https://tug.org/store/lucida/`

[18] Khaled Hosny: Libertinus Fonts.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/libertinus-fonts`
`https://github.com/alerque/libertinus`

[19] Yuansheng Zhao, Xiangdong Zeng: Garamond Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/garamond-math`
`https://github.com/YuanshengZhao`
`/Garamond-Math`

[20] Daniel Flipo: Erewhon Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/erewhon-math`

[21] Daniel Flipo: XCharter Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/xcharter-math`

[22] Daniel Flipo: KpFonts OTF package.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/kpfonts-otf`

[23] Antonis Tsolomitis: GFS Neohellenic Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/gfsneohellenicmath`

[24] Xiangdong Zeng: Fira Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/firamath`
`https://github.com/firamath/firamath`

[25] Chenjing Bu: Lato Math.
`https://github.com/abccsss/LatoMath`

[26] Łukasz Dziedzic: Lato Fonts.
`https://github.com/latofonts/lato-source`

[27] Noto Fonts Project: Noto Math.
`https://github.com/notofonts/math`

[28] Antonis Tsolomitis: New Computer Modern font family. *TUGboat*, 42(1), 52–55, 2021.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb42-1`
`/tb130tsolomitis-newcm.pdf`

[29] Antonis Tsolomitis: New Computer Modern.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/newcomputermodern`

[30] Daniel Flipo: Concrete Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/concmath-otf`

[31] Daniel Flipo: Euler Math.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/euler-math`

[32] Khaled Hosny: Neo Euler — An abandoned OpenType port of Euler math font.
`https://github.com/aliftype/euler-otf`

[33] Hans Hagen, Taco Hoekwater, Volker Schaa: Reshaping Euler: A collaboration with Hermann Zapf. *TUGboat*, 29(3), 283–287, 2008.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb29-2`
`/tb92hagen-euler.pdf`

[34] Ulrik Vieth: OpenType math font development: Progress and challenges. *TUGboat*, 33(3), 302–308, 2012.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb33-3`
`/tb105vieth.pdf`

[35] Hans Hagen, Mikael P. Sundqvist: Pushing math forward with ConTeXt LMTX. *TUGboat*, 43(2), 202–206, 2022.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb43-2`
`/tb134hagen-math.pdf`

[36] Hans Hagen, Mikael P. Sundqvist: New directions in math fonts. *TUGboat*, 43(3), 300–310, 2022.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb43-3`
`/tb135hagen-mathchange.pdf`

[37] Hans Hagen, Mikael P. Sundqvist: Patching Lucida Bright Math. *TUGboat*, 43(3), 311–316, 2022.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb43-3`
`/tb135hagen-lucida.pdf`

[38] Frank Mittelbach: The `unicodefonttable` package. *TUGboat*, 42(3),287–304, 2021.
`https://tug.org/TUGboat/tb42-3`
`/tb132mitt-unicodefonttable.pdf`

[39] Frank Mittelbach: `unicodefonttable`.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/unicodefonttable`

[40] Will Robertson: `unicode-math` package.
`https://ctan.org/pkg/unicode-math`

⋄ Ulrik Vieth
Stuttgart, Germany
`ulrik dot vieth (at) arcor dot de`